

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION 43 OF 2015**

**DISTRICT : NASIK**

Smt Lilabai Nanduram Mhaske )  
Retd as Female Superintendent, )  
Govt. Secondary Ashram School, )  
Nanashi, Tal-Dindori. )  
Dist-Nasik. )  
R/at House no. 4389, Pratap Singh )  
Chowk, Patharwat Lane, Panchwati, )  
Nasik 422 003 )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra )  
Through the Secretary, )  
Tribal Development Dept, )  
Mantralya, Mumbai 400 032. )
2. The Commissioner, )  
Tribal Development, )  
Maharashtra State, )  
Adivasi Vikas Bhavan, )  
Tryambak Naka, Gadkari Chowk )  
Old Agra Road, Nasik 422 022. )
3. The Additional Commissioner, )  
Tribal Development, )

20

- Nasik Division, Gr. Floor, )  
 Adivasi Vikas Bhavan, )  
 Tryambak Naka, Gadkari Chowk )  
 Old Agra Road, Nasik 422 022 )  
 4. The Project Officer, )  
 Integrated Tribal Development )  
 Project, Nasik, First floor, )  
 Adivasi Vikas Bhavan, )  
 Tryambak Naka, Gadkari Chowk )  
 Old Agra Road, Nasik 422 022 )  
 5. The Head Master, )  
 Govt. Secondary Ashram )  
 School, Nanashi, Tal-Dindori, )  
 Dist-Nasik. )...**Respondents**

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)**

**DATE : 15.06.2016**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

20

2. The Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Shri S.K Divekar and Mrs K. Manjulaxmi, Project Officer, Tribal Development, Nasik are present. Learned Advocate Ms Manchekar for the Applicant states that all retiral dues of the Applicant have since been paid. Only her prayer for granting her Time Bound Promotion after completion of 12/24 years service respectively is pending.

3. On instructions from Shri S.K Divekar, Addl. Commissioner, Tribal Development, Nasik, learned P.O states that the issue regarding grant of Time Bound Promotion/Assured Career Progression Scheme benefit to the Applicant will be decided within a period of 3 months from today and appropriate orders will be issued.

4. In view of the fact that major grievances of the Applicant have already been attended to by the Respondents and remaining grievance will be attended to within three months from today, there is no need to keep this O.A pending.

5. The Original Application is accordingly disposed of with a direction that decision regarding grant of Time Bound Promotion benefits /A.C.P scheme benefits to the Applicant on completion of 12/24 years of service will be taken within a period of 3 months from today. No order as to costs.

6. The Respondents no 3 & 4, have tendered apology for not remaining present in the Tribunal on earlier occasions. It is seen that the persons who were attending

24

this Tribunal on behalf of the Respondents probably did not inform the concerned officers about the proceedings of the Tribunal. Respondent no. 4 informed the Tribunal that she came to know about the proceedings of this case only after her return from maternity leave day before yesterday. She, therefore, prays that order regarding imposition of costs on her may be recalled. Respondent no. 3 also prays that there was no intention on his part to disobey the order of this Tribunal and he was also not kept informed by the officer who was entrusted with the task to attend the day to day proceeding of this case in the Tribunal. Their explanation and apologies are accepted and taken on record.

7. In view of the fact that all the retiral dues of the Applicant have since been settled, the order dated 27.4.2016 imposing cost of Rs. 25,000/- each on Respondents no 3 & 4 is recalled.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

**Place : Mumbai**

**Date : 10.06.2016**

**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**